

## REAL WORLD TESTING PLAN

### BACKGROUND & INSTRUCTIONS

Under the ONC Health IT Certification Program (**Program**), Health IT Developers are required to conduct Real World Testing of their Certified Health IT (45 CFR 170.556 and 170.523(i)). The Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC) issues Real World Testing resources to clarify Health IT Developers' responsibilities for conducting Real World Testing, to identify topics and specific elements of Real World Testing that ONC considers a priority, and to assist Health IT Developers to develop their Real World Testing plans.

Health IT Developers have maximum flexibility to develop innovative plans and measures for Real World Testing. As developers are planning for how they will execute Real World Testing, they should consider the overall complexity of the workflows and use cases within the care settings in which they market their Certified Health IT to determine which approaches they will take. This Real World Testing plan template was created to assist Health IT Developers in organizing the required information that must be submitted for each element in their Real World Testing plan. Health IT Developers must submit one plan for each year of Real World Testing (see resources listed below for specific timelines and due dates). ONC does not encourage updating plans outside the submission timeline and will not post updates on the Certified Health IT Product List (CHPL). If adjustments to approaches are made throughout Real World Testing, the Health IT Developer should reflect these adjustments in their Real World Testing results report. ONC would expect that the Real World Testing results report will include a description of these types of changes, the reasons for them, and how intended outcomes were more efficiently met as a result. This resource should be read and understood in conjunction with the following companion resources, which describe in detail many of the Program requirements referenced in this resource.

- [Real World Testing–What It Means for Health IT Developers – Fact Sheet](#)
- Real World Testing Resource Guide – Coming Soon
- [Real World Testing Certification Companion Guide](#)

Health IT Developers should also review the following regulatory materials, which establish the core requirements and responsibilities for Real World Testing under the Program.

- 21st Century Cures Act: Interoperability, Information Blocking, and the ONC Health IT Certification Program final rule, [85 FR 25642](#) (May 1, 2020) (**Century Cures final rule**)
  - ↳ [Section VII.B.5](#) — “Real World Testing”

### GENERAL INFORMATION

Plan Report ID Number: [For ONC-Authorized Certification Body use only]

Developer Name: EyeMD EMR Healthcare Systems, Inc.

Product Name(s): EyeMD Electronic Medical Records

Version Number(s): Version 2

Certified Health IT

Product List (CHPL) ID(s): 15.04.04.2725.EyeM.02.00.1.190501

Developer Real World Testing Page URL: <https://www.eyemdemr.com/compliance/meaningful-use-macra/>

#### JUSTIFICATION FOR REAL WORLD TESTING APPROACH

Consistent with the ONC's recommendation that "Real World Testing verify that deployed Certified Health IT continues to **perform as intended by conducting and measuring observations of interoperability and data exchange**", this test plan focuses on capturing and documenting the number of instances that certified capability is successfully utilized in the real world. In instances where no evidence exists due to zero adoption of a certified capability or the inability to capture evidence of successful use for other reasons, we will demonstrate the required certified capability in a semi-controlled setting as close to a "real world" implementation as possible.

It is important to note that Real World Testing is only one component of the Health IT Certification program used to demonstrate compliance with the program requirements. Real World Testing should augment and support testing that was conducted prior to certification being granted. It is not intended to duplicate the methods or results previously demonstrated. Instead, this test plan was developed to demonstrate that the certified capabilities have been successfully deployed for providers to use at their discretion in live settings.

We are using a 3-fold approach to demonstrate successful real-world implementations.

- Adoption Rate
- Summative Testing
- Interactive Testing

Adoption rate will be used to determine if/when certified capability is being used in the real world and to help identify differences in care settings. Evidence of high rates of implementation and usage indicate (but don't by themselves prove) a certified capability's usefulness and practical value. Evidence of low rates of implementation and usage might indicate a potential problem, of which there could be several different causes. Note, it is not the goal of this exercise to identify the individual causes of why a given certified capability may have a high or low adoption rate, but rather to identify the users and care settings for which a given test is relevant.

Summative assessments will be used to measure which certified actions were performed at the conclusion of a given time period. These will be conducted by generating reports and examining audit logs from within the certified health IT module to help demonstrate the frequency of actions within the given time frame, and where possible, whether those actions were successful or unsuccessful. High success rates should be an indicator of a successful implementation of a given certified capability in a real-world setting.

Interactive testing will be used to demonstrate conformance to requirements where the adoption rate of a given certified capability is zero and to demonstrate ongoing compliance with updated standards and code sets (SVAP). Interactive tests will require a live test as opposed to examining historical usage statistics. The goal is to allow a user to demonstrate the certified Health IT module being used in a way consistent with their own practice or care setting.

## STANDARDS UPDATES (INCLUDING STANDARDS VERSION ADVANCEMENT PROCESS-SVAP AND USCDI)

DEVELOPER has not updated CHITM to any new standards as part of SVAP or the Cures Update criteria as of this date nor plan to prior to the execution of the 2022 Real World Test.

## CARE SETTINGS

CHITM is marketed primarily to...

Care Setting	Justification
Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)	Being a specialty specific EMR, EyeMD EMR is expected to work the same across all ambulatory care settings for both medical-based practices as well as refractive/optical practices.

## MEASURES USED IN OVERALL APPROACH

For each measurement/metric, describe the elements below:

- ✓ Description of the measurement/metric
- ✓ Associated certification criteria
- ✓ Care setting(s) that are addressed
- ✓ Justification for selected measurement/metric
- ✓ Expected Outcomes

## ADOPTION RATES

The following metrics are applicable to all criteria and all care settings. These metrics will not be used directly to demonstrate interoperability or conformance to certification criteria. Instead, they will primarily be used to help determine the participants that will be in scope for this evaluation. They can also aid with the justification for other metrics by providing additional context (i.e., extremely low adoption rates for certain certified capabilities will necessitate a different approach to testing).

Metric	Description
Number of licensed installs/users of EHR <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The definition of a “license” is dependent upon the model used (e.g., total number of systems, total number of seats per license, etc.)</li> </ul>	2,145 Providers
Number of active installs/users of EHR	1,787 Providers

The following metrics are applicable to all criteria that are licensed separately from the base license and all care settings.

<b>Metric</b>	<b>Description</b>
Certified capabilities that are licensed separately	E-Prescribing,
Number of installs/users who licensed a certified capability	1,313 providers utilizing E-Prescribing
Number of installs/users that have used the certified capability in the preceding 365 days	1,313 providers who have utilized E-Prescribing

---

#### SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT METRICS

The following metrics will be measured by viewing audit logs and reporting systems available to track the behavior of the certified Health IT module during a given time frame. All metrics are designed to reflect the core elements of the criteria, demonstrate interoperability, and demonstrate the success rate of the certified capability being used. In most cases we elected to record these metrics over a 90-day period to reflect the reporting periods typically required for compliance with the federal incentive programs.

The continued measurable use of certified capabilities will provide implicit evidence of successful implementation of the required certified capability. This is especially meaningful in cases where interoperability with outside systems is demonstrated. In cases where it is not possible to determine “success” via an explicit confirmation by a receiving system, success will be defined as a transmission was made where no error was received from the destination system or its intermediaries. Additionally, we will review internal customer and vendor issue tracking systems for reports of failures or unsatisfactory performance in the field.

Criterion	Metric	Care Setting	Justification and Expected Outcome
170.315(b)(1) Transitions of care	Over a 90-day period: 1) Number of CCDAs created 2) Number of CCDAs sent via edge protocols 3) Number of CCDAs received via edge protocols	Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)	This criterion requires the ability of a certified Health IT module to create CCDAs according to specified standards and vocabulary code sets, as well as send and receive CCDAs via edge protocols. However, it is not possible to consistently and reliably demonstrate that all required standards and code sets were used because not all CCDAs created in a real-world setting contain all the necessary data elements. Furthermore, it is not feasible to obtain copies of CCDA documents from “outside” developers or providers who have no incentive to participate in this exercise . Therefore, we intend to demonstrate the required certified capabilities by demonstrating how often CCDAs are created and exchanged with other systems to demonstrate the certified capability is available and effective, regardless of the frequency it is used. Our expectation is there will be moderate utilization by providers with a high success rate.
170.315(b)(2) Clinical information reconciliation and incorporation	Over a 90-day period: 1) Number of times a user reconciled medication list data from a received CCDA 2) Number of times a user reconciled allergies and intolerance list data from a received CCDA 3) Number of times a user reconciled problem list data from a received CCDA	Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)	This criterion requires the ability of a certified Health IT module to take a CCDA received via an outside system and match it to the correct patient; reconcile the medication, allergy, and problem lists; and then incorporate the lists into the patient record. The expectation is each of these steps is done electronically within the certified Health IT module. While this certified capability is available to our users, most providers in the real world typically prefer to perform these steps manually and elect to save any outside received CCDAs as attachments to the patient record. Therefore, we intend to record the frequency that providers are electronically reconciling and incorporating CCDAs that were received from outside providers to demonstrate the certified capability is available and effective, regardless of the frequency it is used. Our expectation is there will be low utilization by providers with a high success rate.

<p>170.315(b)(3) Electronic prescribing</p>	<p>Over a 90-day period:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Number of prescriptions created</li> <li>2) Number of prescriptions changed</li> <li>3) Number of prescriptions canceled</li> <li>4) Number of prescriptions renewed</li> </ol>	<p>Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)</p>	<p>This criterion requires the ability of a certified Health IT module to perform prescription-related electronic transactions (eRx) using required standards. However, it is not possible to demonstrate the correct standards were used because it is not feasible to obtain copies of eRx documents from “outside” companies or pharmacies who have no incentive to participate . Therefore, we intend to demonstrate the required certified capabilities are effective by demonstrating how often eRx transactions are performed by examining reports from our eRx partner. This will demonstrate that not only are the eRx transactions sent from the certified Health IT module, but that the transactions are successfully received by the eRx clearinghouse. Our expectation is there will be high utilization by providers with a high success rate.</p>
<p>170.315(e)(1) View, download, and transmit to 3rd party</p>	<p>Over a 90-day period:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Number of views of health information by a patient or authorized representative</li> <li>2) Number of downloads of health information by a patient or authorized representative</li> <li>3) Number of transmissions of health information by a patient or authorized representative using unencrypted email</li> <li>4) Number of transmissions of health information by a patient or authorized representative using encrypted method</li> </ol>	<p>Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)</p>	<p>This criterion requires the ability of a certified Health IT module to provide patients access to a patient portal with the ability to view, download, and send their health care records to other providers via encrypted or unencrypted transmission methods in CCD format. We intend to record the frequency that patients are viewing, downloading, and transmitting their records from the portal using the certified capabilities to demonstrate the certified capability is available and effective, regardless of the frequency it is used. Our expectation is there will be moderate utilization by patients for view and lower utilization for download and transmit with a high success rate for all certified capabilities.</p>
<p>170.315(g)(7) Application access — patient selection</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Number of requests for a patient ID or token</li> <li>2) Number of requests that provided sufficient information to provide a valid response</li> <li>3) Number of follow-up requests made using the provided patient ID or token</li> </ol>	<p>Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)</p>	<p>This criterion requires the certified Health IT module to provide an API and supporting documentation that enable external applications to request a unique patient identifier from the certified Health IT module that can be used to request additional patient data. We intend to record the frequency that patient ID requests are received by providers via API to demonstrate the certified capability is available and effective, regardless of the frequency it is used. Our expectation is there will be low utilization by providers with a high success rate.</p>

<p>170.315(g)(8) Application access — data category request</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Number of requests for a patient’s data made by an application via a data category request using a valid patient ID or token</li> <li>2) Number of requests for a patient’s data made by an application via a data category request using a valid patient ID or token for a specific date range</li> </ol>	<p>Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)</p>	<p>This criterion requires the certified Health IT module to provide an API and supporting documentation that enable external applications to request patient data by category from the certified Health IT module. We intend to record the frequency that patient data requests by category are received by providers and fulfilled via API to demonstrate the certified capability is available and effective, regardless of the frequency it is used. Our expectation is there will be low utilization by providers with a high success rate.</p>
<p>170.315(g)(9) Application access — all data request</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Number of requests for a patient’s Summary Record made by an application via an all data category request using a valid patient ID or token</li> <li>2) Number of requests for a patient’s Summary Record made by an application via an all data category request using a valid patient ID or token for a specific date range</li> </ol>	<p>Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)</p>	<p>This criterion requires the certified Health IT module to provide an API and supporting documentation that enable external applications to request all categories of patient data defined in the CCDS from the certified Health IT module. We intend to record the frequency that patient data requests for all categories are received by providers and fulfilled via API to demonstrate the certified capability is available and effective, regardless of the frequency it is used. Our expectation is there will be low utilization by providers with a high success rate.</p>
<p>170.315(h)(1) Direct Project</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Number of Direct Messages sent</li> <li>2) Number of Delivery Notifications received</li> <li>3) Number of Direct Messages received</li> <li>4) Number of Delivery Notifications sent</li> </ol>	<p>Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)</p>	<p>This criterion requires the ability of a certified Health IT module to record the frequency that direct messages are sent and received by providers, along with how often MDNs are sent and received. Since not all systems respond with MDNs, we cannot reliably use that metric to define success. Furthermore, it is not feasible to obtain copies of Direct Messages from “outside” developers or providers who have no incentive to participate in this exercise . Therefore, we intend to demonstrate the required certified capabilities by demonstrating how often Direct Messages are exchanged with other systems to demonstrate the certified capability is available and effective, regardless of the frequency it is used. Our expectation is there will be moderate utilization by providers with a high success rate.</p>

## INTERACTIVE TESTING

The following test plans will be executed to demonstrate Real World certified capabilities for criteria where metrics are not available, either because:

- There is 0 adoption of the criteria in the real world, either due to unanticipated lack of interest or other factors. Where applicable, these factors are described below.
- There is good adoption of the criteria, but the certified capabilities were developed without anticipating the collection of metrics in mind, so real world demonstration of the criteria is provided to demonstrate that it functions in the real world.

User Story 1	Care Coordination
<b>Care setting</b> <b>What is the workflow these features were intended to support?</b>	Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Patients receiving or involved in a Transition of care who then receive a summary of this activity</i></li> <li>• <i>Practice/provider receives a Referral note via Direct Message which is then incorporated into a client chart</i></li> <li>• <i>Practice/provider prescribes medications, via a single sign-on into a certified 3rd party certified vendor</i></li> <li>• <i>Active patients whose charts were exported using the certified CDA format</i></li> </ul>
<b>Who are the human actors in a real-world setting?</b> <b>What are the systems involved in the story?</b> <b>&gt; What external modules or systems will you rely on?</b> <b>What are the criteria used within this workflow?</b>	<i>Patient, clinical staff, provider</i> <i>EyeMD EMR (Version 2), NewCrop</i> <i>NewCrop for e-prescribing</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>§ 170.315(b)(1) Transitions of care</i></li> <li>• <i>§ 170.315(b)(2) Clinical information reconciliation and incorporation</i></li> <li>• <i>§ 170.315(b)(10) Electronic Health Information export</i></li> </ul>
<b>Which parts of the criteria cannot be tested using summative testing?</b>	<i>None</i>
<b>What is the rationale for not being able to provide metrics/summative testing for these elements?</b>	<i>None</i>
<b>Do we need an additional user story or mini user story to demonstrate the rest of the criterion?</b>	<i>None</i>
<b>What is the best way to prove this workflow functions in the real world?</b>	<i>Functional demonstration</i>
<b>What are the expected results of the test?</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>&lt; 60% of all Transitions of Care documents completed are then downloaded or sent via Edge Protocol (Note: 100% are available for review in the Patient Portal and API)</i></li> <li>• <i>&lt; 30% of all received Transfers are then incorporated into/transferred to the patient's chart</i></li> <li>• <i>70% of agencies that prescribe are sending e-prescriptions via NewCrop</i></li> <li>• <i>&lt; 30% of all active cases have exported a Clinical Summary using the certified CDA format</i></li> </ul>



# Health IT Certification Program

The Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology

User Story 2	Patient Engagement
<b>Care setting</b>	Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)
<b>What is the workflow these features were intended to support?</b>	Active patients who view and/or download their clinical information or upload information via a transmit to the Patient Portal
<b>Who are the human actors in a real-world setting?</b>	Patients
<b>What are the systems involved in the story?</b>	EyeMD EMR (Version 2)
<b>&gt; What external modules or systems will you rely on?</b>	None
<b>What are the criteria used within this workflow?</b>	§ 170.315(e)(1) View, download, and transmit to 3rd party
<b>Which parts of the criteria cannot be tested using summative testing?</b>	None
<b>What is the rationale for not being able to provide metrics/summative testing for these elements?</b>	Not Applicable
<b>Do we need an additional user story or mini user story to demonstrate the rest of the criterion?</b>	None
<b>What is the best way to prove this workflow functions in the real world?</b>	Functional demonstration, collection of audit data showing access to portal as well as those who utilized functionality of portal.
<b>What are the expected results of the test?</b>	< 20% of patients with encounters between 1/1/2022 and 12/31/2022 accessed/viewed their summary information

**User Story 3**

**Electronic Exchange**

**Care setting**

Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)

**What is the workflow these features were intended to support?**

Messages sent and received that were transmitted via a Direct address

**Who are the human actors in a real-world setting?**

*Providers, clinical staff*

**What are the systems involved in the story?**

*EyeMD EMR (Version 2)*

**> What external modules or systems will you rely on?**

*Direct Messaging forwarded via Surescripts*

**What are the criteria used within this workflow?**

§ 170.315(h)(1) Direct Project

**Which parts of the criteria cannot be tested using summative testing?**

*None*

**What is the rationale for not being able to provide metrics/summative testing for these elements?**

*Not applicable*

**Do we need an additional user story or mini user story to demonstrate the rest of the criterion?**

*No*

**What is the best way to prove this workflow functions in the real world?**

*Functional Demonstration*

**What are the expected results of the test?**

*100%, as all messaging is sent and received via Direct messaging*

User Story 4	API Usage
<b>Care setting</b>	Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)
<b>What is the workflow these features were intended to support?</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Requests for API access/configuration are received</i></li> <li>• <i>Once connected, requests for client data via the API are received</i></li> </ul>
<b>Who are the human actors in a real world setting?</b>	<i>External HISP vendors, patients, Smart API vendors</i>
<b>What are the systems involved in the story?</b>	<i>EyeMD EMR (Version 2), Postmate</i>
<b>&gt; What external modules or systems will you rely on?</b>	<i>Postmate</i>
<b>What are the criteria used within this workflow?</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>§ 170.315(g)(7) Application access- patient selection</i></li> <li>• <i>§ 170.315(g)(8) Application access- data category request</i></li> <li>• <i>§ 170.315(g)(9) Application access- all data request</i></li> </ul>
<b>Which parts of the criteria cannot be tested using summative testing?</b>	<i>None</i>
<b>What is the rationale for not being able to provide metrics/summative testing for these elements?</b>	<i>Not applicable</i>
<b>Do we need an additional user story or mini user story to demonstrate the rest of the criterion?</b>	<i>No</i>
<b>What is the best way to prove this workflow functions in the real world?</b>	<i>Functional Demonstration</i>
<b>What are the expected results of the test?</b>	<i>&lt;30% of any API access/connections will have at least one request for client data</i>

High Level Interactive Test Plan:

- **Care Settings:** All interactive testing will be performed for each of the care settings listed above.
- **Test Environment:** All interactive testing will be performed in a live, production environment.
  - Developer uses recorded GoToMeeting or LogMeIn Rescue for training and issues with existing clients in all care settings.
  - The plan for interactive testing the criteria described below in the real world will be to engage with a Clinician in the Clinical Setting where the certified Health IT module is deployed as a representative sample to show that this certified capability works in the real world and that it works the same way in all settings.
- **Test Data:** Interactive testing will be performed using live patient data in the live production environment in order to be as representative as possible of real-world deployments. Precautions will be taken to reduce the risk of exposure of PHI.
  - Existing patients are already set up and regularly used in the live production environment for the purposes of training users and investigating issues.

Criterion	Interactive Test Plan	Care Setting	Justification and Expected Outcome
170.315 (g)(7): Application Access - Patient Selection meets 170.315	DEVELOPER will work with particular practices to gain access to system to remotely test this criterion.  Test user logs into test app as patient and looks up their test results.	Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)	API Criteria will be tested via interactive testing because the API is used in a remote setting, not with the patient in the office.  Expected outcomes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient ID is accepted, and token is returned</li> <li>• Patient CCDS or USCDI data is visible in the app as either discreet data fields or as a CCDA</li> </ul>
(g)(8): Application Access - Data Category Request meets 170.315	Test app queries the API that is available in the <i>provider's deployment</i> . Test patients will be used, they will be set up in the clinic's EHR in advance.		
(g)(9): Application Access - All Data Request			

### SCHEDULE OF KEY MILESTONES

**Scheduling and logistics:** Customer Service team will reach out to individual practices to identify those willing to work with EyeMD EMR Healthcare Systems to conduct testing. Scheduling to be completed by end of March 2022.

**Data Collection:** Majority of observation and data collection will be completed by June, with time allowed for individual practices that may experience delays.

**Review & Collate data:** Data review will be completed by end of September 2022.

**Writing of Report:** First draft of report to be prepared by end of October 2022, with team review prior to submission at end of 2022.

**Real World test planning** will commence in first quarter of 2022. Each phase is expected to take 90-days to complete, with report writing to occur end of 2022/early 2023.

Key Milestone	Care Setting	Date/Timeframe
Scheduling and logistics	Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)	90-days
Data collection	Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)	90-days
Review and collate data	Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)	90-days
Writing report	Ambulatory Vision Care Providers (MDs, DOs, and ODs)	90-days

**ATTESTATION**

This Real-World Testing plan is complete with all required elements, including measures that address all certification criteria and care settings. All information in this plan is up to date and fully addresses the Health IT Developer’s Real World Testing requirements.

Authorized Representative Name: Jessica Marin

Authorized Representative Email: Jessica.larue@eyemdemr.com

Authorized Representative Phone: (877) 239-3367 ext. 110

Authorized Representative Signature: 

Date: November 15, 2021